### State of Texas

#### **ANNUAL EVALUATION REPORT 2015**

## Dr. Arquímedes Ruiz Columbié

Active Influence & Scientific Management

Cloud seeding operations 2015 began over the Texas Weather Modification Target Area in March (first operational day for the WTWMA target area). This annual report is a compilation of the evaluation reports already made and published for three local projects: Panhandle, WTWMA, and STWMA target areas (EAA target area is included in the last one). A total of **237 clouds** were seeded and identified by TITAN in **96 target area operational days**. Table 1 summarizes the general figures:

#### **Table 1: Generalities**

First evaluated operational day: March 31<sup>st</sup>, 2015 (WTWMA)

Last operational day: October 8<sup>th</sup>, 2015 (STWMA) Season: 192 days

### Net Number of operational days: 96

Most active period: April to September: 94 ~ 98 % of the operational days, Less active months: March: 1 ~ 1 % of the operational days

October: 1 ~ 1 % of the operational days

According to the daily reports, operational days were qualified as:

Fifty-nine with excellent performance Fifteen with very good performance Seven with good performance Three with fair performance

Additionally, nine days with non proper data, and three were defined as experimental

Number of seeded clouds: 237

(127 small seeded clouds, 33 large seeded clouds, 77 type B seeded clouds)

**Missed Opportunities: none** (with lifespan longer than 45 minutes)

### **Small Clouds**

Table 2 shows the average results from the classic TITAN evaluations for the 127 small seeded clouds which obtained proper control clouds.

**Table 2: Seeded Sample versus Control Sample (127 couples, averages)** 

Variable	Seeded Sample	<b>Control Sample</b>	Simple Ratio	Increases (%)
Lifetime	65.4 min	45.2 min	1.45	45 (34)
Area	$70.9 \text{ km}^2$	42.1 km <sup>2</sup>	1.68	68 (42)
Volume	217.2 km <sup>3</sup>	$122.2~\mathrm{km}^{3}$	1.78	78 ( <b>47</b> )
Top Height	8.1 km	7.6 km	1.06	6 (2)
Max dBz	51.9	50.7	1.02	2 (0)
Top Height of max dBz	3.7 km	3.7 km	1.00	0 (0)
Volume Above 6 km	48.1 km <sup>3</sup>	22.5 km <sup>3</sup>	2.13	113 ( <b>52</b> )
Prec.Flux	$531.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	$278.6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	1.91	91 ( <b>52</b> )
Prec.Mass	2313.4 kton	856.7 kton	2.70	170 ( <b>137</b> )
CloudMass	166.0 kton	92.0 kton	1.80	80 (45)
η	13.9	9.3	1.50	50 (64)

Bold values in parentheses are modeled values, whereas  $\eta$  is defined as the quotient of Precipitation Mass divided by Cloud Mass, and is interpreted as efficiency. A total of **851 AgI and 41 hygroscopic flares** were used in this sub-sample with an excellent timing (96 %), for an effective AgI dose about **55 ice-nuclei per liter**, which might have reached slightly higher levels in some individual cells. An excellent increase of 137 % in precipitation mass together with an increase of 45 % in cloud mass illustrates that the seeded clouds grew at expenses of the environmental moisture (they are open systems) and used only a fraction of this moisture for their own maintenance. The increases in lifetime (34 %), area (42 %), volume (47 %), volume above 6 km (52 %), and precipitation flux (52 %) are notable. There were no increases in maximum reflectivity (0 %), and in top height (0 %). The seeded sub-sample seemed 64 % more efficient than the control sub-sample. Results are evaluated as **excellent** for this sub-sample.

An increase of 137 % in precipitation mass for a control value of 856.7 kton in 127 cases means:

 $\Delta_1 = 127 \text{ x } 1.37 \text{ x } 856.7 \text{ kton} \approx 149 057 \text{ kton} \approx 120 885 \text{ ac-f}$  (mean layer: 0.65 in)

# **Large Clouds**

The sub-sample of 33 large seeded clouds received a synergetic analysis. In average the seeding operations on these large clouds affected 75 % of their whole volume, with an excellent timing (98 % of the material went to the clouds in their first half-lifetime). A total of **538 AgI and 67 hygroscopic flares** were used in this sub-sample for an effective silver iodide average dose near **100 ice-nuclei per liter**.

Also in average, large clouds were 26 minutes old when the operations took place; the operation lasted about 40 minutes, and the large seeded clouds lived 237 minutes (3 hours and 57 minutes).

Table 3 shows the corresponding results:

**Table 3: Large Seeded Sample versus Virtual Control Sample (33 couples, averages)** 

Variable	Seeded Sample	<b>Control Sample</b>	Simple Ratio	Increases (%)
Lifetime	237 min	195 min	1.22	22
Area	1231 km <sup>2</sup>	981 km <sup>2</sup>	1.25	25
Volume	$4584 \text{ km}^3$	3488 km <sup>3</sup>	1.31	31
Volume Above 6 km	1271 km <sup>3</sup>	962 km <sup>3</sup>	1.32	32
Prec.Flux	$11  413  \text{m}^{3}/\text{s}$	$7\ 184\ m^3/s$	1.59	59
Prec.Mass	80 376 kton	49 905 kton	1.61	61

An increase of 61 % in precipitation mass for a control value of 49 905 kton in 33 cases may mean:

 $\Delta_2 = 33 \times 0.61 \times 49905 \text{ kton} \approx 1004588 \text{ kton} \approx 814721 \text{ ac-f}$  (mean layer: 0.97 in)

## **Type B Clouds**

The sub-sample of 77 type B seeded clouds also received a synergetic analysis. In average the seeding operations on these type B clouds affected 13 % of their whole volume with an excellent timing (84 % of the material went to the clouds in their first half-lifetime). A total of **1264 AgI and 140 hygroscopic flares** were used in this sub-sample for an effective silver iodide average dose about **100 ice-nuclei per liter.** .

Also in average, type B clouds were 126 minutes old when the operations took place; the operation lasted about 31 minutes, and the type B seeded clouds lived 277 minutes (4 hours and 37 minutes)

Table 4 shows the results:

 Table 4: Type B Seeded Sample versus Virtual Control Sample (77 couples, averages)

Variable	<b>Seeded Sample</b>	<b>Control Sample</b>	Simple Ratio	Increases (%)
Lifetime	277 min	269 min	1.03	3
Area	$3188 \text{ km}^2$	$3025 \text{ km}^2$	1.05	5
Volume	$12542~\mathrm{km}^{3}$	11755 km <sup>3</sup>	1.07	7
Volume Above 6 km	$4134 \text{ km}^3$	3867 km <sup>3</sup>	1.07	7
Prec.Flux	$19\ 236\ m^3/s$	$17771 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	1.08	8
Prec.Mass	208 067 kton	193 885 kton	1.07	7

An increase of 7 % in precipitation mass for a control value of 193 885 kton in 77 cases may mean:

 $\Delta_3 = 77 \times 0.07 \times 193 \ 885 \ kton \approx 1 \ 045 \ 040 \ kton \approx 847 \ 528 \ ac-f$  (mean layer: 0.17 in)

The total increase:  $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 = 1.783 + 134 + 13$ 

# **Micro-regionalization**

Increases in precipitation mass were analyzed county by county in an attempt to better describe the performance and corresponding results. **Table 5** below offers the details:

**Table 5: Results per county** 

County	Initial see	Extended eding	Acre-feet (increase)	Inches (increase)	Rain gage (season value)	% (increase)			
Panhandle Ground Water Conservation District Program									
Armstrong	2	7	90 500	1.83	29.39 in	6.2			
Carson	2	8	69 100	1.40	32.50 in	4.3			
Donley	2	9	72 700	1.46	35.83 in	4.1			
Gray	5	8	70 900	1.47	35.98 in	4.1			
Potter	8	11	99 800	2.06	31.18 in	6.6			
Roberts	3	5	41 200	0.83	27.62 in	3.0			
Wheeler	2	6	63 500	1.32	36.94 in	3.6			
Hutchinson		1	3 200						
Collingswor	rth	3	19 800						
Randall		3	21 200						
Moore		2	13 500						
Sub-total	24	63	565 400 (~10	% outside the	target area)				
Average (or	Average (only for the bold values) 1.48 in 32.78 in 4.6 %								

**West Texas Weather Modification Association Program** 

County	Initial Sec	Extended eding	Acre-feet (increase)	Inches (increase)	Rain (season value	% (increase)
Sterling	15	24	102 700	1.29	12.18 in	10.6 %
Reagan	16	20	97 600	1.55	15.01 in	10.3 %
Irion	07	17	116 600	2.07	20.54 in	10.1 %
Tom Green	07	17	109 600	2.69	18.48 in	14.6 %
Crocket	16	24	109 100	0.72	13.49 in	5.3 %
Schleicher	14	17	103 900	1.49	14.23 in	10.5 %
Sutton	13	19	108 700	1.42	18.31 in	7.8 %
Outside TA	0	6	~ 23 000	(~ 2 % of tl	he total amoui	nt)

Sub-total	88	144	771 200 ac-f		
Average (only	y for the b	old values)	1.60	16.03 in	9.98 %

County	Initial See	Extended ding	Acre-feet (increase)	Inches (increase)	Rain Gage (season value)	% (increase)
Uvalde	6	9	42 800	0.50	21.52 in	2.3
Bandera	4	5	9 500	0.26	23.19 in	1.1
Medina	14	17	81 200	1.06	14.17 in	7.5
Bexar	4	15	13 900	0.21	17.23 in	1.2
Frío	9	15	15 600	0.27	23.51 in	1.1
Atascosa	15	22	74 300	1.12	31.42 in	3.6
McMullen	8	13	26 200	0.44	20.32 in	2.2
Wilson	12	16	24 300	0.57	27.98 in	2.0
Karnes	17	19	51 200	1.29	28.94 in	4.5
Live Oak	7	11	19 000	0.35	23.39 in*	1.2
Bee	21	25	34 800	0.74	26.45 in	2.8
Outside	8	12	6 300			
Sub-total	125	170	399 100			
Average				0.62	23.47 in	2.7

(**Initial seeding** means the counties were the operations began, whereas **extended seeding** means the counties favored by seeding after the initial operations took place).

## (\*) Interpolated value of seasonal precipitation for Live Oak County

(**Initial seeding** means the counties were the operations began, whereas **extended seeding** means the counties favored by seeding after the initial operations took place).

**Table 6: Synoptic Summary** 

Program	Initial	Extended	Acre-feet	Increase	Season Rain	%
PGCD	24	63	565 400	1.48 in	32.78 in	4.6
WTWMA	88	144	771 200	1.60 in	16.03 in	9.98
STWMA	125	170	399 100	0.62 in	23.47 in	2.7
Totals	237	377	1 735 700 ac	:-f		
Averages				1.23 in	24.09 in	5.8 %

Outside the target areas (downwind effect): 57 900 ac-f (~ 3 % of the total increase)

Total amount of flares used: 2653 (AgI) plus 248 (Hygroscopic)

### **Final Comments**

- 1) Results are evaluated as **excellent** (no miss-opportunities, 92 % average timing, 75 in/l average glaciogenic dose).
- 2) The micro-regionalization analysis showed increases per county; the average increase in precipitation, referred to an average seasonal value, is about 6.0 %;
- 3) Radar estimations of precipitation should be considered as measurements of trend. Nevertheless, **seeding operations improved the dynamics of seeded clouds**.
- 4) During the 2015 cloud seeding campaign in Texas, hygroscopic seeding became an important component of the operations. When possible, it is recommended to perform dual seeding operations in order to obtain the desire synergy between the glaciogenic and hygroscopic effects (see details on the corresponding aforementioned regional evaluation reports).